UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,706	01/19/2005	Paulus Cornelis Neervoort	NL 020773	2618
24737 7590 01/11/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			DHILLON, MANJOT K	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			· ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/521,706	NEERVOORT ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Malina K. Dhillon	3714 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
HE REPLY FILED 17 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
I. 🗵 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Lightharpoonup The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)						
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary.						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	id sufficient reasons willy the ainda	vit of other evidence is necessary.				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER						
	301 1119130	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitations as claimed are anticipated by Norman et al. Applicant argues that Norman et al. does not teach or suggest all the claimed limitations. Applicant states the limitation of determining, by the game board, the first location of the game element is not met. However, Examiner disagrees. To further explain, the term "location" given the broadest reasonable interpretation, as defined by dictionary.com, can mean "any position on a register or memory device capable of storing one machine word". Norman et al. meets this limitation by teaching as the player moves, game data changes (col. 3, lines 17-18). This means that position of the game element is determined in order for game data to change due to position change. Further, Norman et al. discloses each personal display unit is capable of allowing the individual player to monitor the individual's personal game status as well as means for obtaining additional data, help, clues, or in planning future tactical moves with regard to the game being played (col. 2, lines 1-55). In order for the display units to help planning future tactical moves, location of the game element must be determined. Therefore, location of the game element is determined as game data is changing. To further clarify the term "game element", an element can be a component or constituent of a whole or one of the parts into which a whole may be resolved by analysis. Therefore the combination of the personal display unit and the virtual image is an element of the game.

Applicant states that Norman et al. does not teach determining, by the game board, a first information item representing game content, wherein said first information item is dependent on said location and a first game being played. However, Examiner disagrees. Again, to further explain, the term "location" given the broadest reasonable interpretation, as defined by dictionary.com, can mean "any position on a register or memory device capable of storing one machine word". Norman et al. discloses each personal display unit is capable of allowing the individual player to monitor the individual's personal game status as well as means for obtaining additional data, help, clues, or in planning future tactical moves with regard to the game being played (col. 2, lines 1-55). In order for the display units to help planning future tactical moves, location of the game element must be determined. The term "location" is a very broad term and has various interpretations, and the Examiner has cited one of these interpretations above.